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Appendix 1

Evaluation questionnaire analysis
Research Councils UK energy dialogue regional workshops, June 2007

Birmingham, 9 June 2007: 29 participants; 28 completed questionnaires returned
Cardiff, 16 June 2007: 25 participants; 25 completed questionnaires returned
Oxford, 16 June 2007: 32 participants; 32 completed questionnaires returned
Total 86 public participants; total 85 completed questionnaires returned

1 How far do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>There was enough time to fully discuss the issues properly:</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>14% (4)</td>
<td>64% (18)</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>8% (2)</td>
<td>60% (15)</td>
<td>16% (4)</td>
<td>16% (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>16% (5)</td>
<td>56% (18)</td>
<td>16% (5)</td>
<td>13% (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The information provided was helpful and unbiased:</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>43% (12)</td>
<td>57% (16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>24% (6)</td>
<td>48% (12)</td>
<td>20% (5)</td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>31% (10)</td>
<td>63% (20)</td>
<td>6% (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I understood and could use the information provided:</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>36% (10)</td>
<td>50% (14)</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>20% (5)</td>
<td>80% (20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>31% (10)</td>
<td>56% (18)</td>
<td>13% (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I would have liked more information on the issues:</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>36% (10)</td>
<td>36% (10)</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
<td>18% (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>24% (6)</td>
<td>36% (9)</td>
<td>16% (4)</td>
<td>24% (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>41% (13)</td>
<td>31% (10)</td>
<td>9% (3)</td>
<td>19% (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>50% (14)</td>
<td>43% (12)</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>36% (9)</td>
<td>64% (16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>38% (12)</td>
<td>56% (18)</td>
<td>3% (1)</td>
<td>3% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>50% (14)</td>
<td>43% (12)</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>24% (6)</td>
<td>52% (13)</td>
<td>12% (3)</td>
<td>8% (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>28% (9)</td>
<td>59% (19)</td>
<td>13% (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>29% (8)</td>
<td>46% (13)</td>
<td>21% (6)</td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>24% (6)</td>
<td>36% (9)</td>
<td>36% (9)</td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>19% (6)</td>
<td>41% (13)</td>
<td>38% (12)</td>
<td>3% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>64% (18)</td>
<td>29% (8)</td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>64% (16)</td>
<td>24% (6)</td>
<td>8% (2)</td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>59% (19)</td>
<td>31% (10)</td>
<td>6% (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attending this event has helped me think more clearly about the issues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>61% (17)</td>
<td>29% (8)</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>56% (14)</td>
<td>28% (7)</td>
<td>8% (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>50% (16)</td>
<td>47% (15)</td>
<td>3% (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I enjoyed taking part:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>64% (18)</td>
<td>36% (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>68% (17)</td>
<td>28% (7)</td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>72% (23)</td>
<td>28% (9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I was able to say everything I wanted to:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>32% (9)</td>
<td>43% (12)</td>
<td>14% (4)</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>40% (10)</td>
<td>40% (10)</td>
<td>8% (2)</td>
<td>12% (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>52% (13)</td>
<td>47% (15)</td>
<td>3% (1)</td>
<td>9% (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All participants were treated equally and respectfully:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>50% (14)</td>
<td>46% (13)</td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>60% (15)</td>
<td>28% (7)</td>
<td>12% (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>63% (20)</td>
<td>37% (12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No single view was allowed to dominate unfairly:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>36% (10)</td>
<td>57% (16)</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>52% (13)</td>
<td>24% (6)</td>
<td>16% (4)</td>
<td>8% (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>47% (15)</td>
<td>44% (14)</td>
<td>6% (2)</td>
<td>3% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There was a good mix of people:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>50% (14)</td>
<td>50% (14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>52% (13)</td>
<td>36% (9)</td>
<td>8% (2)</td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>50% (16)</td>
<td>44% (14)</td>
<td>6% (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I am more likely to get involved in these sorts of events as a result of attending this one:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>64% (18)</td>
<td>25% (7)</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
<td>3% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>60% (15)</td>
<td>24% (6)</td>
<td>16% (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>53% (17)</td>
<td>44% (14)</td>
<td>3% (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Has being involved in this workshop made any difference to what you think about energy research?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes, a lot</th>
<th>Yes, a little</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>No, not really</th>
<th>No, not at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>43% (12)</td>
<td>46% (13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>56% (14)</td>
<td>40% (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>69% (22)</td>
<td>25% (8)</td>
<td>3% (1)</td>
<td>3% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please say what made a difference and how it affected your views:

"More complex than I thought. Will think more about wider issues and how to allocate finite resources" (Birmingham)
"I didn't realise the small amount of funding available for research. I expected it to be small but not minimal" (Birmingham)
"I did not fully understand the downside of the use of biomass for energy but now have a clearer view of its advantages / disadvantages" (Birmingham)
"I heard other people's views on how they felt about energy research and it has made a big difference" (Birmingham)
"Raising the profile of research into new types of energy" (Birmingham)
"It helped me prioritise my thoughts" (Birmingham)
"Just by being made aware of some issues I am now able to find out more and know where to look" (Birmingham)
"Just the process of how it is done" (Birmingham)
"I will think more in future about energy use around the home and environment" (Birmingham)
"It has made me think much more about the need for this type of research" (Cardiff)
"A difference has been made by the fact that information and problems were presented that I had not been fully aware of. It has caused me to consider the issues more carefully" (Cardiff)
"It widened my views on the problems and potential solutions of energy requirements of Britain in the 21st century" (Cardiff)
"Thought about saving energy, production and how much is actually spent on research" (Cardiff)
"It made me more aware of my own energy consumption and crystallised my views on energy production" (Cardiff)
"I am far more aware of how money is spent and will take more interest in the issues around energy conservation and generation in future" (Cardiff)
"I have been well informed and changed my views on issues that I previously through I had a strong opinion on" (Cardiff)
"Money is being wasted on research rather than doing" (Cardiff)
"I realise that research is important to us" (Cardiff)

[Not changed] "Only because I already was aware and had views that haven't changed" (Oxford)
"I know more now as it was explained in simple language" (Oxford)
"Very interesting about energy research and how it works and where the real work in the future is" (Oxford)
"I was not aware of the research council before" (Oxford)
"How research is funded in %" (Oxford)
"Helped me understand where the money is spent" (Oxford)
"Awareness of energy issues and impacts on the earth. Thinking out of the box on a more global view" (Oxford)
"Much more aware that there is a process independent of government and that priorities are being reviewed and evaluated" (Oxford)
"Learning where the money goes raised my awareness of the difficulty in prioritising" (Oxford)
"Surprised at how little spent on energy" (Oxford)
"I have learned a lot about different fuel resources and how hard the researchers work" (Oxford)
"I wasn't aware of research in nuclear fusion" (Oxford)
"Finding out how much is spent on energy research and in my humble opinion should be increased substantially" (Oxford)
"Energy is a problem, yes, but this workshop showed the solutions and how I can make a difference" (Oxford)
"I intend to think more about my own energy consumption. I will research more into nuclear power to develop a greater understanding of a previously scary subject" (Oxford)
"The statistics shown to us - especially about how money is spent. More types of energy options available than I realised" (Oxford)
"I'm concerned that we don't spend enough on energy research and that the current research funding focuses so highly on nuclear" (Oxford)

3 What were the best / most successful aspects of the workshop?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birmingham</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Hearing / understanding others views</td>
<td>36% (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Group discussions</td>
<td>18% (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Learning (about energy)</td>
<td>14% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Taking part</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Exploring other workshop members views and reasoning"
"Understand the views of others and realising why they had their views"
"[first] open discussion; [second] prioritising budget"
"How passionate people are about energy"
"All of it. Well-presented, good communication, in depth knowledge of tutors"
"Best: presentation; most successful: the exchanges between participants"
"Finding out all the different energy resources there are and could be"
"The debates over spending priorities"
"Group discussions, though the gradual introduction of information / statistics made these better"
"The formal briefings"

**Cardiff**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning about energy (production)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchanges between participants</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group discussions</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentations</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everything</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Seeing how interested others were in all of it"
"The end discussion session"
"The first session was a waste of time and boring"
"Late start"
"Last session rather too diffuse and wide-ranging to make decisions"
"Lack of time to carry on discussions due to irrelevant comments that were not related to the issue"
"Dominating people"

**Oxford**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Others views</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideas / information</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group discussions</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy efficiency / policies</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussion sessions</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Some of the presentations were a bit rushed. We would have benefited from more information on certain areas to be better advised in our discussion groups"

## 4 What were the worst / least successful aspects of the workshop?

**Birmingham**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak facilitation (poor control, etc)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"One poor workshop. Not in control and aims poorly explained"
"Huge issues for a short while"
"The first session was a waste of time and boring"
"Last session rather too diffuse and wide-ranging to make decisions"
"Lack of time to carry on discussions due to irrelevant comments that were not related to the issue"
"Dominating people"
"A long session for a weekend"
"Not knowing enough about energy"
"Lack of prior information which might have made the session more productive. I recognise however that such information could be considered prejudicial"
"Not full info on everything"
"Me having to stand up and summarise the debate to the whole room"
"Grouping things by importance didn't reflect the whole group's view brilliantly"
"The feedback by individuals at the end was a curate's egg!"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiff</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>20% (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having to make presentations</td>
<td>12% (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough knowledge to contribute</td>
<td>8% (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxford</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>25% (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not understand it all</td>
<td>6% (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rushed presentations on information</td>
<td>6% (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Trying to get too much in the time available"
"Need to be more proactive in asking the least communicative member for their views - certain individuals tended to dominate at times"
"The 5-min presentations about social / home / transport etc were very rushed"
"Trying to get a group consensus - much disagreement so didn't really reflect people's views"
"Unable to expand on some issues due to lack of financial information"
"I still have a lack of understanding of certain issues"
"Maybe giving us a bit more of a context and telling us a bit more of how what we did today linked with the bigger picture - earlier in the day"

## 5 How satisfied were you with the workshop?

### The information provided:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Very satisfied</th>
<th>Fairly satisfied</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Not very satisfied</th>
<th>Not at all satisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>71% (20)</td>
<td>25% (7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>56% (14)</td>
<td>40% (10)</td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>59% (19)</td>
<td>41% (13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The way the event was run on the day:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Very satisfied</th>
<th>Fairly satisfied</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Not very satisfied</th>
<th>Not at all satisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>75% (21)</td>
<td>21% (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>72% (18)</td>
<td>28% (7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>78% (25)</td>
<td>22% (7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What was the most important benefit for you personally in taking part in this workshop?

**Birmingham**
- Sharing / hearing others views: 21% (6)
- Learning: 18% (5)
- Information on energy: 14% (4)
- Ability to explore the subject: 11% (3)
- Chance to share own views: 11% (3)
- Knowing views count / listened to: 7% (2)
- Money: 7% (2)

"Help everybody think better and open minds"
"Taking part in discussing very important issues"
"Information about new energies"
"Opportunity to discuss views, knowing that they will be taken into account"
"Ability to explore more of a subject I am very concerned about"
"Knowing that people's views do count"
"Opened my eyes to energy efficiency"

**Cardiff**
- Learning / understanding: 40% (10)
- Meeting / hearing others views: 24% (6)
- Money: 12% (3)
- Opportunity to give opinion: 8% (2)
- Raised interest / awareness in energy: 8% (2)

"A learning curve"
"More interested in the energy crisis"
"The opportunity to give an opinion and feel it is valued"
"As an electrical engineer, it was nice to hear other people's views of the industry"
"Learning a vast amount which I wouldn't have known"
"The ability to present my views … enabled me to relax and listen to others' point of view … also, able to ask if uncertain"
"Enlightening me considerably with respect to energy issues"
"The knowledge that something will actually be done about our energy situation"
"Awareness of a very important issue"
"Awareness of imminent threats to the environment and efforts to alleviate them"
"Reviewing my own opinions in the light of discussion with others"
"Understanding more about funding energy research"
"Made me feel what I had to say was important and that someone might actually care what the public thinks"
"I am pleased that my opinions may influence the direction of future funding"
"Having my views heard and listening to others' interesting points of view"
"Learning about how government money is spent on investing in our future fuel"

7 How important do you think it is to involve the public in discussing the future of energy research?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Fairly important</th>
<th>Not very important</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>79% (22)</td>
<td>18% (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>60% (15)</td>
<td>28% (7)</td>
<td>12% (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>94% (30)</td>
<td>6% (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined result</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2

Evaluation questionnaire analysis
Research Councils UK energy dialogue Summit, June 2007
Warwick summit, 30 June - 1 July 2007
Total 30 participants; 27 completed questionnaires returned

1 How far do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I enjoyed taking part</td>
<td>63% (17)</td>
<td>37% (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I learnt something I did not know before</td>
<td>59% (16)</td>
<td>33% (9)</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending this event has helped me think more clearly about the issues</td>
<td>48% (13)</td>
<td>37% (10)</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was able to say everything I wanted to</td>
<td>22% (6)</td>
<td>56% (15)</td>
<td>22% (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All participants were treated equally and respectfully</td>
<td>59% (16)</td>
<td>33% (9)</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No single view was allowed to dominate unfairly</td>
<td>41% (11)</td>
<td>41% (11)</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The structure of the event enabled us to fully discuss the issues properly</td>
<td>18% (5)</td>
<td>56% (15)</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was clear about the purpose of each activity</td>
<td>22% (6)</td>
<td>56% (15)</td>
<td>15% (4)</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think that Research Councils UK will take our discussions into account in deciding on future energy research</td>
<td>15% (4)</td>
<td>41% (11)</td>
<td>37% (10)</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am more likely to get involved in these sort of events as a result of attending this one</td>
<td>59% (16)</td>
<td>41% (11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Which activity did you find the most useful and interesting?

- Saturday morning budgeting (with £100 million / chips) 44% (12)
- Sunday morning future scenario thinking 37% (10)
- Saturday morning deciding on the factors for prioritising energy research 30% (8)
- Saturday afternoon thinking about changing conditions (what ifs) 30% (8)
- Sunday morning news headlines from 2050 4% (1)
- Other: listening to other views in informal discussion 4% (1)
3 Which ways of working did you find most rewarding and interesting?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Working in small groups</td>
<td>74% (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listening to experts</td>
<td>41% (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending the first workshop (in Birmingham, Cardiff or Oxford)</td>
<td>33% (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole group (plenary sessions)</td>
<td>33% (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants presenting back to the whole group</td>
<td>15% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completing the tasking pack at home</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 What did the experts provide that was most useful?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Answering specific questions</td>
<td>59% (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking part in small group discussions</td>
<td>41% (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentations to the whole group</td>
<td>30% (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: not patronising</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other: "An unbiased opinion and spoke in layman's terms"

5 What were the best / most successful aspects of the event?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting others with range of views</td>
<td>33% (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small group discussions</td>
<td>22% (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information / clarification from experts</td>
<td>19% (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everything</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Working as a group on possible spending of research budget"
"Asking the experts questions"
"When scientists were able to clarify specific points that I was uncertain about"
"All views / comments were listened to and treated with equal respect"
"The experts clearing up issues"
"Hearing wide range of views and expert information and discussions"
"Interacting with different people and learning so much"

6 What were the worst / least successful aspects of the event?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not enough time on each aspect of research</td>
<td>22% (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of strong facilitation / control</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Discussing community based energy scenario of 2050"
"Inability (no matter how many times explained) to understand certain terms"
"Slight lack of control of participants who liked the sound of their own voices"
"Feelings there were hidden agenda. Mixed messages"
"Occasional over-intensity of individual participants - a slight overbearingness"
"Maybe the slide shows"
"Being rushed for answers"
"Organisational inefficiency"
"Some group discussion tasks were too complex / detailed to handle successfully"
"I would have liked longer on some discussions"
"The exercise with the [hexagons]"
"Possibly the days could have started a bit earlier at say 9.30 so we could finish a bit earlier, or had more time to ask expert questions"

7  What was the most important benefit for you personally in taking part in this workshop?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learnt a lot</td>
<td>41% (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting others / hearing different views</td>
<td>22% (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being able to contribute / play a part / voice opinions</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listening to experts</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Learning more about types of research. Meeting and exchanging opinions with other group members"
"Getting views from other people, from different backgrounds, around the UK"
"I got answers and a clear understanding of energy research"
"Knowledge and awareness of participants' psyche. Listening to experts"
"Encouragement to voice my opinions"
"It gave me a bigger insight into climate change etc"
"Able to answer some of my uncertainties"
"Meeting some interesting people"
"Being able to hear the views of people who make decisions on energy and the environment"

8  How satisfied were you with the event?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfied with the Information Provided</th>
<th>Very satisfied</th>
<th>Fairly satisfied</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Not very satisfied</th>
<th>Not at all satisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The information provided</td>
<td>74% (20)</td>
<td>19% (5)</td>
<td>7% (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The way the weekend event was run</td>
<td>74% (20)</td>
<td>26% (7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall satisfaction with the event</td>
<td>89% (24)</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9  How important do you think it is to involve the public in discussing the future of energy research?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance Level</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Fairly Important</th>
<th>Not very Important</th>
<th>Not at all Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67% (18)</td>
<td>22% (6)</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For results greater than 1